

Estimation of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and its attributes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

SIDDARTH SHANKAR¹, G. SINGH^{2*}, B.K.PRASAD³

¹Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding Post Graduate College Ghazipur- 233001, U.P., INDIA

²Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding Post Graduate College Ghazipur- 233001, U.P., INDIA

³Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding Amar Singh College Lakhaoti Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA

Abstract: The experiment was undertaken to estimate the genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and its attributes in thirty eight wheat genotypes grown at research farm of P.G. College Ghazipur during Rabi season 2020-21. Wide genetic variation was observed among genotypes for all the characters studied considering the genetic parameters. The magnitude of phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) were higher than the corresponding genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the characters under investigation, indicating the influence of environmental factors on other experiment. High heritability with high genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for plant height, seed yield per plant, 100 grain weight, days to 50% flowering, biological yield, number of grain per spike and spike length. The grain yield per plant had significant and positive correlation with biological yield, spike length, and plant height. The magnitude of direct and indirect effects revealed that 100 grain weight was the most important traits followed by spike length, days to maturity and harvest index for improvement of grain yield in wheat.

Key Words: Wheat, variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation, direct and indirect effects.

Received: August 23, 2022. Revised: May 26, 2023. Accepted: June 13, 2023. Published: July 4, 2023.

1. Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., $2n=6x=42$) is a monocot plant, belongs to the tribe *triticeae*, under the grass family *poaceae*. Wheat grains has a high nutritional value with 70-75% starch, 14% water, 8-20% proteins, 2-3% non-starch polysaccharides, 2% lipids, 1.6% minerals, antioxidants *etc.* and is main staple food crop for a huge world population (Goesaert *et al.*, 2005) [12]. The growing peace of population is declining the cultivated land which is a great concern for the world's food security and alarming us to raise the grain yield production by crop improvement. The genetic variability observed in the plant population of a species is result of the both heridity effects of concerned genes and the environment. Therefore, grain yield is a complex trait and is strongly influenced by many genetic and

environmental factors. Thus, direct selection for yield can be misleads and deaccelerate the pace of breeding program. Successful selection of high yielding genotypes depends on information available for genetic variability and the association of morpho-agronomic traits with grain yield. The degree of association between two or more variables is estimated by the correlation coefficient (r). The correlation analysis measures the degree of association but does not indicate the relative importance of each factor. Therefore, it is necessary to perform a more detailed examination using an analysis of the path coefficient, a statistical technique to decompose the correlation coefficient into direct and indirect effects, which facilitates the estimation of the contribution of each component (independent cause) to the grain yield (dependent variable) (Suleiman *et al.*, 2014). Keeping in mind the above facts, the

present study was planned to estimate the genetic variability correlation and path coefficients analysis between grain yield and its contributing traits in wheat genotypes and to identify the yield contributing traits that could be used as selection criteria to improve grain yield.

2. Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out in the research form of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, P. G. College Ghazipur. A total of 38 genotypes, including released varieties and advance breeding lines were used in the present study. The crop was sown in four rows of 3-meter length with three replicates in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) during *Rabi* 2020-21. Five plants from rows of plot were selected randomly and tagged to record the data of different morpho-agronomical traits *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height(cm), number of tillers per plant, spike length (cm), number of grains per strike, biological yield (g), 100-grain weight(g), harvest index(%) and seed yield per plant(g). Harvest index was calculated as per formula given by Donald and Humblin (1976) [8]. The mean performance of individual genotypes was used for statistical analysis. Statistical analysis of recorded phenotypic data were performed using a computer based statistical package INDOSTAT program. Analysis of variance of the observations recorded on all characteristics was carried out as per the standard procedure suggested by Fisher (1925) [11]. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were estimated according to Burton *et al.* (1953) [4]. Heritability in broad sense was calculated as the ratio of genotypic to phenotypic variance and expressed in percentage (Falconer, 1981) [10]. Genetic advance as percent of mean of each character was worked out adopting the formula given by Johnson *et al.* (1955) [14]. Similarly, correlation coefficient and path analysis were carried out using the method suggested by Al-

Jibouri *et al.* (1958) [1] and Dewey and Lu (1959) [7], respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance for different traits revealed that the mean sum of squares due to treatments was significant for all the characters under study indicating substantial variability into material taken under study (Table-1). The presence of this variability indicated that there is a ample scope for improvement in the traits under study. The similar result have been reported by Ashish *et al.* (2020), Bhulto *et al.* (2016), Khan *et al.*(2017) and Phougat *et al.*(2016).

3.1 Variability and heritability parameters

The extant of variability by present in the genotypes was measured in the terns of range, genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), broad sense heritability and expected genetic advance (GA) (Table 2). It is clearly marked that a significant amount of variation was present among genotypes for the character under study. The magnitude of phenotypic coefficient of variation(PCV) were higher than the corresponding genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the characters under investigation(Table 2) indicating influence of environmental factors on their expression.

3.2 Heritability and Genetic advance

The genotypic coefficient of variation does not offer full scope to estimate the variation that is heritable or environment and therefore, estimation of heritability becomes necessary. The magnitude of heritability ranged from 33.14% (days to maturity) to 91.81(spike length). Heritability estimates were high for spike length, seed yield per plant, number of grains per spike, 100 grain weight, biological yield, days to 50% flowering, number of tillers per plant, harvest index and plant height. The

character days to maturity showed low heritability. The high heritability estimates would be helpful for selection and breeding of superior genotypes on the basis of phenotypic performance of quantitative characters.

However, Johnson *et al.* (1955) reported that heritability (h^2) estimates along with genetic advance were more useful than the heritability along with selecting the best genotypes. So, heritability along with high genetic advance was considered an important selection parameter. High heritability estimates along with genetic advance as present of means were recorded for characters plant height seed yield per plant, 100-grain weight, days to 50% flowering, biological yield, number of grains per spike and spike length. This demonstrates the presence of additive gene effects indicating the effectiveness of selection for these traits in crop improvement programme. Similar results were also reported by Ashish *et al.* (2000), Branwal *et al.* (2012) and Kumar *et al.* (2014) for all the characters.

3.3 Correlation Coefficients analysis

Grain yield is a complex character and is influenced by several component characters. It is also very different to improve yield by directly selecting for grain yield per plant. So it was felt that it would be of great help in selection of the desirable genotypes for yield, if there is a certain association of grain yield with contain easily measurable plant characters. Correlation between traits could be due to linkage or pleiotropy. Correlation due to linkages can be modified through recombination, but correlation due to pleiotropy or developmental causes may not be easy to overcome. In later cases, the genetic improvement in yield is not possible without bringing an improvement in yield component traits. The inclusion of various component characters in a selection scheme is obviously not practicable and under these situations, and knowledge with respect to the association of various traits with grain yield

would be of immense help in formulating an effective and efficient selection and screening programme.

Correlation among wheat genotypes showed that grain yield per plant had significant and positive correlation with biological yield per plant (g), spike length and plant height (Table 3). The 100 grain weight was positively correlated with plant height and spike length. Similarly, the association of grain yield with either one or more than one trait has also been reported by Ashish *et al.* (2020), Phougat *et al.* (2017), Arya *et al.* (2017) and Desheva (2016)

3.4 Path Coefficients Analysis

Partitioning of total correlation into direct and indirect effects provide a direct and indirect contribution of characters and thus form the basis for selection to improve the yield. Considering grain yield as effect and other characters as causes, genotypic correlation coefficients were partitioned by using the method of path analysis to find out the direct and indirect effects. Shrivastava and Sharma (1976) suggested that only direct yield components should be used for selection purpose. In the present study, the magnitude of direct effects revealed that 100 grain weight was the most important trait followed by spike length, days to maturity and harvest index for improvement of grain yield (Table 4) Similar observations were found by Arya *et al.* (2017), Ashish *et al.* (2020), Phougat *et al.* (2017). The characters plant height, number of tillers per plant spike length, showed highest positive and indirect effect on seed yield per plant via 1000 grain weight. So path analysis suggested that the 100-grain weight, biological yield, plant height, days to maturity, spike length, number of tillers per plant were the effective selection attributes doing breeding program for improvement in yield of wheat.

References

- [1]. Al-Jibouri H, Miller PA, Robinson HF. Genotypic and Environmental Variances and Covariances in an Upland Cotton Cross of Interspecific Origin 1. *Agronomy journal* 1958;50(10):633-6.
- [2]. Arya VK, Singh J, Kumar L, Kumar R, Kumar P, Chand P. Genetic variability and diversity analysis for yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* 2017;51(2):128-34.
- [3]. Ashish Ashish, SK Sethi, Vikram, Divya Phougat and Antim(2020). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genotypes for yield and its contributing traits. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2020; 9(6): 388-391
- [4]. Bhutto AH, Rajpar AA, Kalhoro SA, Ali A, Kalhoro FA, Ahmed M. Correlation and regression analysis for yield traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *Natural Science* 2016;8(3):96-104.
- [5]. Burton GW, Devane DE. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material 1. *Agronomy Journal* 1953;45(10):478-81.
- [6]. Choudhary RA, Jat BL, Anwale R, Dhiklwal SR, Sharma KC. Studies on estimates of genetic variability and character association of yield components and protein content in pearl millet. *Forage Research* 2012;38:80-5.
- [7]. Desheva G. Correlation and path-coefficient analysis of quantitative characters in winter bread wheat varieties. *Trakia Journal of Sciences* 2016;1:24-29.
- [8]. Dewey DR, Lu K. A Correlation and Path-Coefficient Analysis of Components of Crested Wheatgrass Seed Production 1. *Agronomy journal* 1959;51(9):515-8.
- [9]. Donald CM, Hamblin J. The biological yield and harvest index of cereals as agronomic and plant breeding criteria. *Advances in agronomy* 1976;28:361-405
- [10]. Drikvand R, Bihamta MR, Najafian G, Ebrahimi A. Kernel quality association and path analysis in bread wheat. *International Journal of Biology* 2013;5(3):73.
- [11]. Falconer DS. Introduction to quantitative genetics. Introduction to quantitative genetics. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburg, London, UK 1960,316.
- [12]. Fisher RA. Statistical methods for research workers. Edinburgh. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, London, UK 1925,146.
- [13]. Goesaert H, Brijs K, Veraverbeke WS, Courtin CM, Gebruers K, Delcour JA. Wheat flour constituents: how they impact bread quality, and how to impact their functionality. *Trends in food science & technology* 2005;16(1-3):12-30.
- [14]. Hussain M, Khan AS, Khaliq I, Maqsood M. Correlation studies of some qualitative and quantitative traits with grain yield in spring wheat across two environments. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 2012;49(1):1-4.
- [15]. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans 1. *Agronomy journal* 1955;47(7):314-8.
- [16]. Khan SA, Hassan G. Heritability and correlation studies of yield and yield related traits in bread wheat. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* 2017;33(1):103-7.
- [17]. Kumar LMY, Naik VR, Biradar SS. Identification of micronutrient dense genotypes on the basis of biochemical characteristics in wheat (*Triticum* spp.). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 2014;27(3):285-8.
- [18]. Phougat D, Godara A, Sethi SK, Ashish, Singh V. Genetic variability and association studies for yield and its component traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* (L.) em.Thell) genotypes under late sown conditions. *Advances in Life Sciences* 2016;7(17):6842-6847.
- [19]. Phougat D, Panwar IS, Saharan RP, Singh V and Anuradha. Genetic diversity and association studies for yield attributing traits in

bread wheat [*Triticum aestivum* (L.) em.Thell].
Research on Crops 2017;18 (1):139-144.

[20]. Shrivastava MN, Sharma KK. Analysis of path coefficients in rice. Zeitschrift fuer Pflanzenzuechtung (Germany, FR) 1976;77:174-177.

[21]. Singh, RK and Choudhary, BD Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publication, New Delhi. 1977; 178-185.

[22]. Suleiman AA, Nganya JF, Ashraf MA. Correlation and path analysis of yield and

yield components in some cultivars of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L) in Khartoum State, Sudan. Journal of Forest Products and Industries 2014;3(6):221-228

[23]. Wahidy S, Suresh BG, Lavanya GR. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in wheat germplasm (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development 2016;3(7):247.

Table 1 : Analysis of variance for ten quantitative characters in wheat (*Triticum estivum* L.)

| Sl. No. | Source of Variation | D.F. | Days to 50% flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height(cm) | No. of tillers per plant | Spike length (cm) | No. of grains per spike | Biological yield (g) | 100 grain weight | Harvest index(%) | Seed yield per plant(g) |
|---------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Replication | 2 | 8.132 | 12.2193 | 221.738 | 21.8652 | 5.3677 | 64.527 | 348.48 | 0.41952 | 2.7696 | 27.457 |
| 2 | Treatment | 37 | 46.888** * | 11.5024** * | 206.312** * | 3.8101* | 12.2711 * | 105.139 * | 94.58* | 0.59563* * | 20.8026* | 18.514 * |
| 3 | Error | 74 | 3.555 | 4.6247 | 28.186 | 2.3133 | 7.1685 | 60.281 | 56.48 | 0.27204 | 11.7714 | 10.824 |

* - Significant at 5% level of significance

** - Significant at 1% level of significance

*** - Significant at 0% level of significance

Table 2 : Estimates of range, mean, phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV), genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance for grain yield and its attributes in wheat.

| Sl. No. | Characters | Mean | Range | Coefficient of variation (cv) | | | Heritability (h ²) | Genetic Advance | Genetic advance(%) |
|---------|----------------------------|---------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | | | | GCV | PCV | ECV | | | |
| 1 | Days to 50% flowering | 78.5526 | 71.00-88.00 | 4.84 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 80.25% | 7.0135 | 8.9284 |
| 2 | Days to maturity | 124.307 | 114.00-134.00 | 1.22 | 2.12 | 1.73 | 33.14% | 1.7957 | 1.4446 |
| 3 | Plant height(cm) | 93.9168 | 70.00-118.70 | 8.21 | 9.96 | 5.65 | 67.81% | 13.0712 | 13.9178 |
| 4 | No. of tillers per plant | 12.2932 | 9.28-19.95 | 5.75 | 13.64 | 12.37 | 77.41% | 0.6129 | 4.9857 |
| 5 | Spike length (cm) | 16.6244 | 12.00-43.50 | 7.85 | 17.91 | 16.11 | 91.81% | 1.1765 | 7.0769 |
| 6 | Number of grains per spike | 50.6584 | 13.80-73.60 | 7.63 | 17.12 | 15.33 | 98.71% | 3.5512 | 7.0101 |
| 7 | Biological yield(g) | 37.7815 | 11.80-57.40 | 9.43 | 22.02 | 19.89 | 83.61% | 3.1454 | 8.3252 |
| 8 | 100 grain weight(g) | 3.8722 | 2.49-5.30 | 8.48 | 15.92 | 13.47 | 84.41% | 0.3606 | 9.3126 |
| 9 | Harvest index(%) | 38.7532 | 26.15-45.71 | 4.48 | 9.92 | 8.85 | 70.31% | 1.613 | 4.1622 |
| 10 | Seed yield per plant(g) | 14.4325 | 5-26 | 11.09 | 25.35 | 22.8 | 91.15% | 1.4433 | 10 |

Table 3: Genotypic & phenotypic correlation coefficients analysis for yield and its attributing characters of different genotypes in wheat.

| Traits | | Days to maturity | No. of tillers per plant | Plant height(cm) | Spike length (cm) | No. of grains per spike | 100 grain weight(g) | Biological yield(g) | Harvest index(%) | Grain yield per plant(g) |
|--------------------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Days to 50% flowering | G | 0.1893 | -0.7799 ** | -0.2577 | -0.0167 | 0.5987 ** | -0.582 ** | -0.1474 | -0.3765 * | -0.5217 ** |
| | P | 0.067 | -0.638 | -0.1 | 0.105 | -0.158 | -0.216 | -0.145 | -0.103 | -0.177 |
| Days to maturity | G | | -0.078 | -0.0288 | 0.1253 | -0.3449 * | 0.0404 | -0.0926 | 0.3164 | 0.1354 |
| | P | | -0.059 | -0.037 | -0.02 | -0.002 | -0.091 | -0.014 | 0.017 | -0.058 |
| Plant height(cm) | G | | | 0.2623 | 0.181 | -0.5736 ** | 0.6446 ** | 0.0191 | 0.4973 ** | 0.4875 ** |
| | P | | | 0.175 | -0.009 | -0.1007 | 0.325** | 0.0108 | 0.124 | 0.247** |
| No. of tillers per plant | G | | | | -0.4324 ** | -5.00E-04 | -0.4464 ** | -0.6566 ** | -0.3777 * | -0.4429 ** |
| | P | | | | -0.066 | -0.044 | 0.0286 | -0.0987 | -0.049 | -0.0076 |
| Spike length (cm) | G | | | | | -0.0604 | 0.7713 ** | 0.4054 * | -0.0084 | 0.7505 ** |
| | P | | | | | -0.039 | 0.204* | 0.066 | 0.057 | 0.230* |
| No. of grains per spike | G | | | | | | -0.0247 | -0.5225 ** | 0.3024 | 0.2025 |
| | P | | | | | | 0.059 | -0.136 | -0.039 | 0.0308 |
| Biological yield(g) | G | | | | | | | 0.3506 * | 0.07 | 0.2453 |
| | P | | | | | | | 0.1091 | 0.0212 | 0.8425** |
| 100 seed weight(g) | G | | | | | | | | 0.3369 * | 0.2986 |
| | P | | | | | | | | 0.0125 | 4.407 |
| Harvest index(%) | G | | | | | | | | | 0.136 |
| | P | | | | | | | | | 0.348 |

* Significant at 5% level of significance ; ** Significant at 1% level of significance

Table 4: Direct and Indirect effects of different characters towards yield in wheat.

| Traits | | Days to 50% Flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height(cm) | No. of tillers per plant | Spike length (cm) | No. of grains per spike | 100 grain weight(g) | Biological yield(g) | Harvest index(%) | Correlation with seed yield/plant |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Days to 50% flowering | G | 0.24381 | 0.07805 | 0.13048 | - | 0.01159 | 0.33122 | -1.08117 | -0.11454 | 0.05019 | -0.5217 ** |
| | P | -0.04321 | 0.00125 | 0.0342 | 0.00291 | 0.00661 | -0.00407 | -0.18396 | 0.00986 | -0.0014 | -0.177 |
| Days to maturity | G | 0.04615 | 0.41233 | 0.01304 | 0.01915 | 0.08709 | -0.19083 | 0.07509 | -0.07197 | -0.04217 | 0.1354 |
| | P | -0.00293 | 0.01846 | 0.00316 | 0.00109 | 0.00157 | 0.00005 | -0.07776 | 0.00096 | 0.00023 | -0.058 |
| Plant height(cm) | G | -0.19016 | -0.03215 | -0.16729 | 0.17429 | 0.12577 | -0.31733 | 0.19735 | 0.01484 | -0.06629 | 0.4875 ** |
| | P | 0.0276 | -0.00109 | -0.05354 | 0.00508 | 0.00058 | 0.00259 | 0.27615 | -0.00073 | 0.00168 | 0.247** |
| No. of tillers per plant | G | -0.06284 | -0.01188 | -0.04388 | 0.66451 | 0.30049 | -0.00029 | -0.82926 | -0.51007 | 0.05034 | -0.4429 ** |
| | P | 0.00433 | -0.00069 | -0.00937 | 0.02904 | 0.00418 | 0.00114 | 0.02428 | 0.0067 | -0.00067 | -0.0076 |
| Spike length (cm) | G | -0.00406 | 0.05167 | -0.03027 | 0.28731 | -0.695 | -0.0334 | 0.43282 | 0.31491 | 0.00112 | 0.7505 ** |
| | P | -0.00456 | -0.00046 | 0.0005 | 0.00194 | 0.06264 | 0.001 | 0.17352 | -0.00455 | 0.00078 | 0.230* |
| No. of grains per spike | G | 0.14596 | -0.14222 | 0.09595 | 0.00035 | 0.04196 | 0.55326 | -0.04586 | -0.40588 | -0.0403 | 0.2025 |
| | P | -0.00684 | -0.00004 | 0.00539 | 0.00129 | 0.00245 | -0.0257 | 0.05043 | 0.00925 | -0.00053 | 0.0308 |
| Biological yield(g) | G | -0.1419 | 0.01667 | -0.10783 | 0.29665 | 0.53607 | -0.01366 | 0.85762 | 0.27234 | -0.00932 | 1.0412 ** |
| | P | 0.00936 | -0.00169 | -0.01742 | 0.00083 | 0.0128 | -0.00153 | 0.84891 | -0.0074 | 0.00029 | 0.8425** |
| 100 seed | G | -0.03595 | -0.0382 | -0.0032 | - | - | -0.28908 | 0.65128 | 0.77679 | -0.0449 | 0.2986 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| weight(g) | | | | | 0.43635 | 0.28175 | | | | | |
| | P | 0.00628 | -0.00026 | -0.00057 | 0.00287 | 0.0042 | 0.0035 | 0.09253 | -0.06791 | 0.00017 | 4.407 |
| Harvest index(%) | G | -0.0918 | 0.13046 | -0.0832 | 0.25099 | 0.00582 | 0.16729 | 0.12996 | 0.26171 | -0.13328 | 0.136 |
| | P | 0.00448 | 0.00032 | -0.00667 | 0.00144 | 0.0036 | 0.00102 | 0.018 | -0.00086 | 0.01348 | 0.348 |

Residual Effect of Genotypes = -0.2913

Residual Effect of Phenotypes = 0.2798