

Performance of chemical weed management in irrigated sunflower

SELVAKUMAR T.¹, K. SRINIVASAN², L. RAJENDARAN³

Department of oilseeds, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, INDIA

Abstract: The field experiments were conducted at Department of Oilseeds, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during *kharif* seasons for three consecutive years from 2013 to 2015. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with eight treatments. The crop was irrigated as per the requirement and recommended dose of fertilizers were applied for better growth and development. Pre emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ai/ha followed by one hand weeding at 30 DAS is the best IWM practice for getting effective and economical weed control in irrigated sunflower. Combinations of pre emergence herbicide pendimethalin and post emergence herbicides viz., quizalofop ethyl, propaquizofop and fenoxypop ethyl was not effective against broad leaved weeds infested field in sunflower, though they were not were phytotoxic to sunflower.

Key-Words: Sunflower, chemical weed management, pre emergence herbicide and post emergence herbicide

1. Introduction

Oilseeds in India constitute the principal commercial crops of India. India is the largest producer of oilseeds in the world and the oilseed sector occupies an important position in the country's economy. India accounts for 12-15 per cent of global oilseeds area, 6-7 per cent of vegetable oils production, and 9-10 per cent of the total edible oils consumption. In the present oil crisis, sunflower occupies a prime place as it is distinctly superior to most of the other oil seed crops. It is the main source of edible oil in several parts of the world as it has got more of poly unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). Sunflower is a photo and thermo-insensitive, short duration, deep-rooted, drought-resistant, widely adaptable crop [1] and offers promise for its cultivation for boosting oilseed production.

Weed competition is one of the major biotic constraints in reducing sunflower productivity under irrigated conditions due to wider spacing and application of higher dose of fertilizers. The level of weed infestation of sunflower differs over location and directly affects the intensity of the competitive relationships between crops and weeds, which results in greater yield losses [2]. In this context, the chemical method of weed management is gaining importance. Use of herbicides will provide completely weed free condition to the crop from its early growth period whereas, manual or mechanical weeding can be done only after the emergence of weeds. Individual herbicides are not much efficient because of their selective nature for different weeds. Hence, herbicide combinations are presently used for effective and economic way of weed control. Pre-emergence herbicides will be effective against the germinating weeds but in order to minimize the

second flush of weeds, it is important to apply post emergence herbicide [3]. To increase the productivity of sunflower and reduce the cost of cultivation, use of sequential application of pre and post-emergence herbicides may be the useful option rather than pre or post-emergence herbicide application alone. The sequential application of pre- and post-emergence herbicides in sunflower has not been investigated adequately. Keeping this in view, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of pre emergence and post emergence herbicides in sunflower and to find out suitable weed management practice to manage weeds in irrigated sunflower.

2. Materials and methods

The field experiments were conducted at Department of Oilseeds, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during *kharif* seasons for three consecutive years from 2013 to 2015. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with eight treatments viz. pendimethalin @ 0.75 kg a.i./ha (38.7% CS) as pre emergence (PE)(T₁), pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha as PE + followed by (fb) hand weeding (HW) on 30 DAS (T₂), pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha as PE + quizalofop Ethyl 10 EC @37.5 g a.i./ha on 15-20 DAS as earlypost emergence (EPoE) (T₃), pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha as PE + propaquizofop ethyl @ 62 g a.i./ha on 15-20 DAS as early post emergence (EPoE) (T₄), pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i./ha as PE + fenoxypop ethyl @37.5 g a.i./has on 15-20 DAS as early post emergence (EPoE) (T₅), farmers practice (two hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS) (T₆), weed free check(T₇) and unweeded control (T₈) with replicated three times. The crop was irrigated as per

the requirement and recommended dose of fertilizers were applied for better growth and development @ 60: 90: 60 kg NPK/ ha were applied as urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively and the crop was sprayed with plant protection chemicals as and when required to maintain without pest and diseases infection. Herbicide application with manually operated knapsack sprayer delivering a spray volume of 500 lit/ha through flat-fan nozzle and hand weeding was done as per the treatment to the respective plot. The experimental soil was red loamy with 7.3pH, 0.49 dS/m electrical conductivity, 0.25% organic carbon content, low in available nitrogen (283 kg/ha), low in available phosphorus (9.8 kg/ha) and high in available potassium (408 kg/ha). The gross plot was 5.4 × 4.8 m with net plot of 4.2 × 4.2 m. Sunflower hybrid CO2 with a duration of 90 days was selected for this study and it was sown in the ridges at 60x30cm spacing @ two seeds per hill and later it was thinned leaving one healthy seedling per hill to maintain 100 per cent population.

Weed density and weed dry weight at 30, 60 and 90 days after sowing were recorded from pre marked quadrants of 1m² area. The weed data were subjected to square root transformation ($\sqrt{x+1}$) to normalize the distribution. Weed control efficiency [4] and weed index [5] were worked out to assess the efficiency of different weed control treatments. All the growth parameters were recorded at regular interval, while yield parameters and yield were recorded at harvest. The economics was calculated based on prevailing market prices of inputs and outputs (sunflower @ Rs. 35/kg). The yield was recorded separately for each net plot and converted into kg/ha. The data were analyzed as per the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for randomized block design at 0.05 probability.


3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Weed flora

Weed flora of the experimental field predominantly consisted of nine species of broad leaved weeds, eight species of grassy weeds and a sedge weed. Predominant grassy weeds were *Cyanadon dactylon* (L.), *Dactyloctenium aegyptium* (L.), and *Echinochloa colona* (L.). *Cyperus rotundus* (L.) was the only sedge weed was found and among the broad leaved weeds *Trianthema portulacastrum* (L.), *Digera arvensis* (Forsk.) and *Parthenium hysterophorus* (L.) were the dominant ones. Dicot weeds were predominant than the monocot and sedges (Fig 1.) and among the dicots

Trianthema portulacastrum (L.) was the major weed observed in the study.

Fig1. Weed density in experimental site at 60 DAS (Average of 3 Years)



3.2 Effect of different management practices on weed control

All the weed management treatments significantly reduces the weed density and weed dry weight over unweeded control (Table 1). However, efficiency among the treatments varied considerably. Weed free check has recorded significantly lowest weed density and weed dry weight which was closely followed by pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS. However, unweeded control recorded more total weed density. Quizalofop ethyl, propaquizafop, fenoxoprop ethyl are the group of aryloxyphenoxy propionate herbicides which has the inhibitors of acetyl CoA carboxylase mode of action which is selective for the control of annual and perennial grassy weeds in broad leaved crops [6 & 7]. Whereas in this experimental site broad leaved weeds are dominant, so these chemicals are not having significant effect on controlling weeds.

Weed control efficiency (WCE) indicated the magnitude of effective reduction of weed dry weight by weed control treatments over unweeded control. This was highly influenced by different weed control treatments. Weed free check recorded higher WCE and followed by pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS. Higher WCE might be attributed to the effective weed control resulting in lower weed density. [8] Reported that weed free plot recorded 100% (WCE) followed by pendimethalin@1.5 lit/ha and one hand weeding over weedy check. These are in line with the findings of [9] who had reported that the highest weed control efficiency was recorded under weed free check.

The extent of yield reduction due to weed competition as assesses through weed index (WI)

has evidently indicated the suppressing effect of weed free check (T₇) which had minimum weed competition and maximum seed yield. The highest yield reduction of 58.6 per cent occurred under unweeded control (T₈). The weed index was very low in pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS (T₂) (5.5 per cent) followed by HW on 20 and 40 DAS (T₇) (8.4 per cent). Profuse weed growth restricted the vegetative growth and nutrient availability to the crop there by caused yield reduction. Similarly, [10] noticed that maximum weed index was observed under unweeded check and minimum weed index was noticed with the pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1 kg/ha + hand weeding @ 30 DAS in groundnut.

3.3 Effect on crop growth and yield

Weed free check has recorded significantly taller plants which was on par with PE pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha + HW on 30 DAS (Table 2). Unweeded control recorded remarkably shorter plants. The head diameter contributes to the yield of sunflower. Weed free check registered higher head size compared to all other treatments. This might be due to minimum weed infestation for longer period of time in these treatments. Similar results in plant height and head diameter with weed management practices were reported [11].

Profound influence due to weed control treatments was noticed in number of seeds/ head of sunflower crop. Among all the treatments weed free check recorded higher number of seeds/head and it was comparable with pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS. The lower number of seeds/ head was recorded in unweeded control. The hundred seed weight was significantly higher in weed free check and it was on par with pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS and twice hand weeding treatments. All early post emergence herbicides showed increase in growth and yield parameters compared to pre emergence application of herbicide alone (T₁). But there was no significant increase in growth and yield parameter due to dominance of broad leaved weeds in the experimental site. Similar result was found [12].

Among the weed management practices significantly higher seed yield of 2204 kg/ha was recorded with weed free check. The next best treatment was pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS with the seed yield of 2188 kg/ha. Both the above treatments were on par in their effect, and it was closely followed by HW on 20 and 40 DAS (1928 kg/ha). Whereas,

unweeded control recorded conspicuously lower seed yield (871 kg/ha). All the treatments with post emergence herbicides (T₃, T₄ and T₅) were on par each other but recorded lower yield compared to the treatment pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS.

The reason for higher economic yields under the treatments *viz.*, weed free check and pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS were due to reduction in the competition from weeds at the most critical stages of crop weed competition. Reducing weeds competition at the early growth stages as well as at later growth stages of sunflower provided amiable atmosphere for the better utilization of available natural resources and cost incurred external inputs by the crop for producing more photosynthates. This might have amplified the absorption of nutrient and moisture from the soil without competition resulting in higher yield. It might be due to managing of weeds from the early growth of sunflower, as seemed from drastic reduction in density and dry matter production of weeds in weed free check, which helped in better growth of the crop resulting in significant seed yield of sunflower [13].

4. Economics

Higher gross return of ₹77,140/ha was obtained by weed free check and it was followed by pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS (₹76,580/ha) (Table 3). Lower gross return (₹30,485/ha) was registered by unweeded control which was followed by pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence with the gross return of ₹44,345/ha. Among the treatments pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS (T₂) gave the highest net return of ₹40,324/ha. The lowest net income of ₹3,917/ha was observed in unweeded control. Application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + HW on 30 DAS (T₂) registered higher B: C ratio of 2.11. It was followed by pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as pre emergence + Quizalofop Ethyl 10 EC @ 37.5 g a.i/ha as early post emergence (T₅) and weed free control (1.90). The lowest B: C ratio of 1.15 was recorded in unweeded control.

Table 1. Effect of weed management on weed in sunflower crop

| Treatment | Weed density (No./m ²) | | Weed dry weight (Kg/ha) | | WCE (%) | WI (%) |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|
| | 30 DAS | 30 DAS | 30 DAS | 30 DAS | | |
| T ₁ - PE Pendimethalin (38.7 % CS) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha | 6.36 (40) | 10.49 (110) | 8.21 (67.01) | 16.29 (265) | 49.8 | 39.8 |
| T ₂ - PE Pendimethalin (30 % EC) @ 1 kg a.i/ha + HW 40 DAS | 6.34 (40) | 4.74 (22) | 7.60 (57.35) | 4.76 (22) | 89.9 | 5.5 |
| T ₃ - PE Pendimethalin (30 % EC) @ 1.0 kg a.i/ha + EPoE Quizalofop Ethyl 10 EC @ 37.5 g a.i/ha | 6.42 (41) | 11.46 (131) | 7.84 (61.04) | 21.21 (449) | 40.0 | 25.0 |
| T ₄ - PE Pendimethalin (30 % EC) @ 1.0 kg a.i/ha + EPoE Propaquizofop @ 62 g a.i/ha | 6.98 (48) | 11.99 (143) | 9.72 (94.33) | 22.09 (488) | 34.4 | 31.2 |
| T ₅ - PE Pendimethalin (30 % EC) @ 1.0 kg a.i/ha + EPoE Fenoxoprop Ethyl @ 37.5 g a.i/ha | 7.21 (52) | 12.27 (150) | 10.16 (102.95) | 22.63 (512) | 31.3 | 34.3 |
| T ₆ - Farmers practice (HW at 20 & 40 DAS) | 3.01 (9) | 9.38 (88) | 3.82 (14.20) | 9.24 (85) | 59.9 | 8.4 |
| T ₇ - Weed free | 1.30 (1) | 3.23 (10) | 1.52 (2.25) | 3.98 (15) | 95.4 | - |
| T ₈ - Unweeded control | 13.27 (176) | 14.79 (218) | 18.51 (342.66) | 27.85 (775) | - | 58.6 |
| SEm (±) | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.479 | 0.269 | | |
| LSD (0.05) | 0.75 | 0.33 | 1.03 | 0.577 | | |

Table 2. Effect of weed management on growth and yield of sunflower crop

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | Head diameter (cm) | No. of seeds / capitulum | Test Weight (g) | Yield (kg) |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| T ₁ - PE Pendimethalin (38.7 % CS) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha | 175 | 21.86 | 718 | 4.04 | 1267 |
| T ₂ - PE Pendimethalin (30 % EC) @ 1 kg a.i/ha + HW 40 DAS | 203 | 28.87 | 1079 | 4.31 | 2188 |
| T ₃ - PE Pendimethalin (30 % EC) @ 1.0 kg a.i/ha + EPoE Quizalofop Ethyl 10 EC @ 37.5 g a.i/ha | 167 | 20.67 | 825 | 4.21 | 1579 |
| T ₄ - PE Pendimethalin (30 % EC) @ 1.0 kg a.i/ha + EPoE Propaquizofop @ 62 g a.i/ha | 161 | 19.40 | 770 | 4.20 | 1447 |
| T ₅ - PE Pendimethalin (30 % EC) @ 1.0 kg a.i/ha + EPoE Fenoxoprop Ethyl @ 37.5 g a.i/ha | 157 | 19.07 | 749 | 4.16 | 1383 |
| T ₆ - Farmers practice (HW at 20 & 40 DAS) | 191 | 27.13 | 960 | 4.28 | 1928 |
| T ₇ - Weed free | 208 | 30.87 | 1093 | 4.35 | 2204 |
| T ₈ - Unweeded control | 126 | 12.90 | 540 | 4.03 | 871 |
| SEm (±) | 3.7 | 0.84 | 54 | 0.04 | 95.4 |
| LSD (0.05) | 7.9 | 1.80 | 114 | 0.08 | 202 |

Table 3. Effect of weed management options on economics of sunflower crop

| Treatments | Cost of cultivation (₹) | Gross returns (₹) | Net returns (₹) | BCR |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------|
| T ₁ | 27871 | 44345 | 16474 | 1.59 |
| T ₂ | 36256 | 76580 | 40324 | 2.11 |
| T ₃ | 29137 | 55265 | 26128 | 1.90 |
| T ₄ | 29500 | 50645 | 21145 | 1.72 |
| T ₅ | 29187 | 48405 | 19218 | 1.66 |
| T ₆ | 38393 | 67480 | 29087 | 1.76 |
| T ₇ | 40543 | 77140 | 36597 | 1.90 |
| T ₈ | 26568 | 30485 | 3917 | 1.15 |

5. Conclusion

Pre emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ai/ha followed by one hand weeding at 30 DAS is the best IWM practice for getting effective and economical weed control in irrigated sunflower. Combinations of pre emergence herbicide pendimethalin and post emergence herbicides viz., quizalofop ethyl, propaquizofop and fenoxypop ethyl was not effective against broad leaved weeds infested field in sunflower, though they were not were phytotoxic to sunflower.

References

- [1] Sarkar, S., A.K. Bhattacharjee and S. Mitra. 2005. Weed management in jute by trifluralin (48% EC) in the early jute-weed competition phase. *Journal of Crop Weed*, 2: 30–33.
- [2] Vrataric, M., 2004. Weeds in sunflower and theirs control. In: Sunflower, *Helianthus annuus* L., The Agricultural Institute Osijek, Osijek, Croatia. [Korovi u suncokretuinjihovosuzbijanje. U: Suncokret, *Helianthus annuus* L., Poljoprivredni Institut Osijek, Osijek, Hrvatska], pp. 259-282.
- [3] Walia, U.S., Surjit Singh and Buta Singh. 2007. Integrated Approach for the control of hardy weeds in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 39(1&2): 112-115.
- [4] Mani, V.S., K.C. Gautam, B. Dass and Y.R. Singh. 1973. Integrated weed management for sustainable agriculture. In: Proceedings of 3rd All India weed control Seminar, *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, pp: 48.
- [5] Gill, V.S. and Vijaya Kumar. 1966. Weed index - A new method for reporting weed control trials. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 14(1): 96-98.
- [6] Sitangshu Sarkar. 2006. Weed management in jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.) by post emergence herbicides. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, 44 (1-2): 71-73.
- [7] Dixit, J.P., Harvendra Singh and S.K.S. Bhadauria. 2012. Quizalofop ethyl: An effective post emergence herbicide to control grassy weeds of groundnut. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 14 (1): 22-24.
- [8] Singh, G., I.C. Ram and D. Singh. 1991. Crop-weed competition studies in green gram and black gram. *International Journal of Pest Management*, 37(2): 144-48.
- [9] Yadav, S.K., S.P. Singh and V.M. Bhan. 1983. Performance of herbicides for weedcontrol in groundnut. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 15: 58-61.
- [10] Chandrika, V. 2004. Integrated weed management in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) during rabi season. *Legume Research*, 27(4): 243-248.
- [11] Nalayini, P. and Sankaran, S. 1992. Effect of moisture regimes and weed control treatments on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 24 (3&4): 1-5.
- [12] Sumathi, V., D.S. Koteswarar Rao, D. Subramanyam and D.S. Reddy. 2009. Effect of planting pattern and weed management on nutrient uptake and economics of rabi sunflower and its associated weeds. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 41: 65-70.
- [13] Singh, D. K and Singh, K. N. 2006. Weed control in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) under temperate conditions of Kashmir vally. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 51 (3): 225-227.