Strategic Alignment Through the Balanced Scorecard: Integrating Planning, Execution, and Control

VENELIN TERZIEV[1], MARIN GEORGIEV[2]

[1]Black Sea Institute, Bourgas,
Trakia University, Stara Zagora,
BULGARIA

[2]Black Sea Institute, Bourgas,
BULGARIA

Abstract: Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is a management and control method that connects short-term operational control with long-term strategy through selected key performance indicators. It helps organizations focus their efforts on strategic goals by integrating both financial and non-financial measures. A crucial aspect of the BSC is the linkage between strategic planning and operational budgeting, ensuring the strategy is effectively implemented in daily activities. For successful strategy execution, five principles are recommended: commitment from top management, translating strategy into specific goals and initiatives, applying the BSC at all organizational levels, aligning individual and corporate goals, and maintaining strategy as a continuous improvement process.

Key-Words: Balanced Scorecard, Strategic Management, Performance Indicators, Operational Control, Financial Measures, Non-Financial Measures, Strategy Implementation

Received: April 14, 2025. Revised: May 19, 2025. Accepted: June 18, 2025. Published: August 4, 2025.

1 Introduction

In the context of increasing complexity and competitiveness in the business environment, modern organizations require effective tools that align strategic goals with operational activities. The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) emerges as a comprehensive management and control framework that links short-term operational control with long-term strategic vision. By integrating financial and non-financial indicators, BSC enables organizations to monitor performance across key perspectives—financial results, customer focus, internal processes, and learning and growth.

A distinctive feature of the BSC is its ability to translate strategic plans into actionable objectives, making strategy implementation a continuous and measurable process. The model encourages coherence between strategic planning and operational budgeting, ensuring that long-term goals are embedded into daily decision-making and resource allocation. Moreover, BSC supports the transition from traditional financial control to a more holistic strategic control system that reflects the complexity of modern organizational dynamics.

This paper explores the functional role of the Balanced Scorecard in aligning vision, strategy, and performance, and outlines five key principles for its effective implementation in organizational practice.

2 From Vision to Action: The Balanced Scorecard in Strategic Management Practice

The very first reflections on the concept of the BSC prove that the organization can be seen from concrete perspectives, as the BSC model achieves the most tight link between the short-term operational control and the long-term strategy [5]. In this way, the company focuses on a set of critical key ratios that reflect the state and trends in certain planned areas. In other words, the company is forced to control and analyze day-to-day operations as they affect the long-term development, including its strategic prospects. Consequently, the concept of the BSC contains three time dimensions, analyzing registered results, regulating current activity and focusing in the future.

The BSC is a method of reaching agreement and realizing control over where an organization follows its strategy. In business and the public sector, key indicators can be found in abundance. The difference is in focusing on a deliberately chosen set of criteria - little enough to be observed - and on their use to achieve and share a common view of the organization's strategy for its future development. The agreed set of criteria, formed on the basis of a necessary, reasonable balance, reflects the strategic choice of the organization.

The selected criteria can be seen as a complement to financial control and as a means to reduce the risk of a harmful short-term approach, while giving a clear idea to the employees of the organization about their work, and expectations about the company's future [6]. Some scientists talk about changing the approach from financial to strategic control, i.e. from a specific direction in the management, to change the focus of attention to the overall organizational strategy. The question really concerns the essence of the economy more than the monetary method. A good economy means good resource management. Modern organizations are much more than a skillful investment of capital. It is important for each leading manager how to manage talent, market position and accumulated knowledge.

The perfection of a simple concept predetermines the universal nature of the BSC model and its wide perimeter of application, promptly demonstrating the tangible and intangible benefits of reconciling financial and nonfinancial criteria.

In most organizations, processes of strategic planning and operational funding exist independently of each other and involve separate organizational structures. The process of strategic planning is permanent, defining long-term plans, goals and strategic initiatives, usually taking place by deepening substantial trends registered on an annual basis. On the same basis, it is endorsed by senior management and the organization's budget. It consists almost entirely of numerical financial indicators, which usually mark the link between the strategic plan and the organizational goals. If an organization wants to establish a link between its actions and strategy, strategic and planning should be linked to operational funding. Strategic targeting processes express the business unit's drive to achieve excellent results on strategic indicators of the prospects shaping its BSC. Resources are being used and initiatives are being implemented to ignore the differences between the current state and indirect goals for a prolonged future period [3].

One of the most significant alternatives for genuinely controlling the validity of strategies and the effectiveness of strategic planning is the formulation of specific short-term goals on the parameters that form the BSC. The intermediate controls in question are a real expression of the senior executive's performance on the pace and outcomes of ongoing programs and strategic indicators initiatives. Such detailed short-term financial planning is important, but the budgeting process should also include expected performance from other areas involved in structuring the BSC. This means that the executive management should provide, as part of integrated planning and budgeting, short-term monthly or quarterly performance and performance indicators for its users, innovative and operational processes, and the process of synchronization between employees, systems and organization . These key milestones in the planning for the next year express the expectations for short-term achievements over the long-term road to realizing the strategy chosen by the organization. If the long-term plan definition process is properly implemented, the short-term budgeting process will consist of bringing the first year of the multiannual plan into current budgets for the objectives and indicators across all BSC lines.

Considering the necessary strategic / budget reference, a new direction is emerging to improve the BSC model. In this process, two management problems are simultaneously implemented:

– Increase operational-tactical efficiency and related budgets;

– Realizing successful strategic development through reasonable strategic planning.

The combination of the two decisions defines the new content and functionality of the BSC as a tool for managing and controlling the strategy.

In this line of thought, the relationship between strategy and budget, strategic planning and budgeting is a procedure underlying the effective analysis and detailing to implement the smooth transition from a high-level strategy to the creation of a budget for the implementation of the current activity. The strategy sets the organizational development line for the 3-5 year period in its implementation, while the annual budget provides the first year of this process and marks the links between current and strategic goals.

The current and effective management and control model of BSC has identified certain gaps and problems, the solution of which is the path to its improvement and enrichment in a meaningful and functional aspect. Is it possible to formulate model strategic goals of the organization, control strategic and development, engage all personnel and all that leads to success and stable development? BSC is the modern management and control model through which this task can be realized. Along with the Balanced Scorecard, it is possible to conduct flexible and effective management and strategic controls using not many but relevant indicators.

As a starting point for effective governance and control, there is a balanced reconciliation of various indicators necessary to reflect the organization's activity and the construction of a logical system such as BSC based on proven causality. The realization of this process and its specificity are accompanied by specific issues, whose analysis and solutions are an alternative for improving the BSC as a model for real control. Everyone who knows the life of the organization knows that there are a number of control systems that affect its day-to-day operations. However, there is no systematic understanding of why and how these systems are used as a means of achieving certain programs [4].

By organizing their management system based on the structure of the BSC, managers can achieve their most important objective - bringing the strategy into operation and controlling it. When organizations make the crucial transition to turning their strategic vision into action, they realize the true upsurge and take real advantage of developing and implementing strategically BSCs. The results of the initially developed BSC always lead to a series of management processes that mobilize and reorient organizational efforts. The development of the original BSC in an organization is achieved on the basis of systematic consensus-building processes and clarifying how the mission and strategy can be brought into a system of working objectives and indicators.

As a result of an in-depth study of good practice among organizations that have embedded and using BSCs, there could be drawn a model of five principles that organizations could follow in transforming their strategy into concrete actions and implementing real, strategic control [7].

The first principle claims that change should begin at the highest level. The idea is that senior management initiates the implementation of the strategy, firstly fixing the organization's vision and goals, demonstrating personal interest and engaging staff awareness with the upcoming change.

The second principle considers that the strategy needs to be translated, that is, detailed, bound by deadlines and operational plans. BSCs are being modeled at this stage to analyze the links between the different elements of the strategy, to formulate objectives and their measures, and to identify strategic initiatives.

The third principle is justified by the need for the organization to be able to implement and implement the corporate strategy. At this point, it is imperative to develop BSCs with indicators for lower business units /departments, workshops, to identify opportunities for synergy in order to achieve maximum added value.

The fourth principle identifies the need for the strategy to become an essential part of the work of each employee in the organization. This is a difficult process due to the fact that it is related to the development of individual BSCs that contain individual goals and consider the relationship between each employee's personal contribution to implementing the company's strategy. Here, it should be noted that the practical application of BSCs as a form of individual development and control makes sense and a logical explanation especially for key individual positions /consultants, representatives, etc./ where their activities have a significant impact on organizational efficiency, which causes the application of BSC to be financially secured and objectively necessary. An essential point here is to find the intersection between individual and corporate values. Success at this stage is crucial because, although the strategy is formulated by the management, its implementation depends on the staff working in the organization. By aligning and consolidating goals and incentives at an individual level with those of the organization, effective communications transparency and maximum management and control performance are achieved [2].

The fifth principle has a generalizing character, imperiously pointing out that the strategy must become a continuous and permanently improving process. It is at this point that different practices are being developed in relation to resource management, the process of training organization, and the updating of initiatives and control measures [1-2].

3 Conclusion

The Balanced Scorecard is a strategic management and control tool that effectively links short-term actions with long-term goals. By integrating financial and non-financial indicators, it provides a comprehensive view of organizational performance. The successful implementation of BSC depends on aligning strategy with operations, involving all levels of the organization, and maintaining strategy as a continuous improvement process. When applied systematically, the BSC transforms strategic vision into measurable results and sustainable development.

References:

[1] Botta, V. (2001). Balanced controlling. *IS-Report,* 3, 16–20.

[2] Georgiev, M. (2019). Balanced Scorecard as a tool for management improvement. *Plovdiv:*

Academy of Innovation and Sustainability. 147 p. ISBN 978-619-7246-24-7.

[3] Müller, A. (2000). Strategisches Management mit der Balanced Scorecard. *Stuttgart*.

[4] Simons, R. (1995). Levers of control: How managers use innovative control systems to drive strategic renewal. Boston, *MA: Harvard Business School Press*.

[5] Stoyanov, E. (2016). Control of organizational success or a strategy to improve the factor "knowledge". *The Teacher of the Future: Ninth International Scientific Conference, June 17–19, 2016, Durrës, Republic of Albania. International Journal Knowledge*, 13(2), 23–26.

[6] Terziev, V., & Stoyanov, E. (2015). Dependencies between social programming as a basis of regulating social development and the concept of controlling. *Fifth International Scientific and Applicative Conference "Knowledge - Who and What", May 21–25, 2015, Bansko, Bulgaria. Journal of Process Management - New Technologies, International, Special Edition*, 9, 303–322.

[7] Terziev, V., & Stoyanov, E. (2015). Challenges of management control in the process of social programming. *Novosibirsk*. 318 p. ISBN 978-5-00068-445-0.