Translating Strategy into Action: The Role of the Balanced Scorecard

VENELIN TERZIEV[1], MARIN GEORGIEV[2]

[1]Black Sea Institute, Bourgas,
Trakia University, Stara Zagora,
BULGARIA

[2]Black Sea Institute, Bourgas,
BULGARIA

Abstract: The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is an integrated management model that transforms an organization's strategic goals into measurable criteria covering four main perspectives: finance, customers, internal processes, and organizational learning and development. Unlike traditional models, the BSC provides a link between financial and non-financial indicators through logical and time dependencies, thus facilitating strategic planning and control. The model is based on stakeholder theory and combines elements of value-based management. Its application encourages the introduction of modern methods for measuring intangible assets and creates a basis for developing strategic budgets. The BSC is not a universal template, but an adaptive framework tailored to the specific strategy, market environment, and competitive advantages of the organization.

Key-Words: Balanced Scorecard, Strategic management, Performance Measurement, Stakeholders, Non-Financial Indicators, Strategic Budget, Organizational Development.

Received: April 9, 2025. Revised: May 15, 2025. Accepted: June 14, 2025. Published: August 4, 2025.

1 Introduction

There is a growing criticism of traditional The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is a modern strategic management tool that transforms the vision and goals of the organization into measurable indicators. Unlike traditional models, the BSC combines financial and non-financial dimensions, united in four key perspectives: finance, customers, internal processes and organizational learning. The model supports the implementation of the strategy through a clear connection between goals and daily activities. It is adaptable to the specific organizational environment and takes into account the importance of intangible assets such as knowledge, innovation and loyalty. The article examines the concept of the BSC and its role as an effective tool for management and control.

2 The Balanced Scorecard: Conceptual Foundations and Strategic Management Implications

The Balanced Scorecard is a particular form of management thinking and control, implemented through a series of actions. This individuality and uniqueness is predetermined by two components - on the one hand the uniqueness of each organization and its strategy, and on the other specific, specific solutions for management and controlling through certain initiatives and events. Each organization sets out perspectives, timelines and indicators according to these characteristics when introducing the BSC as a management and a control tool [2]:

– Perspectives - due to the opportunity of covering different perspectives, analyzing and fixing their weight against strategic goals, emphasizing in this process the strategic success factors;

– Timeframe - due to the opportunity of organizing the strategic actions in a concrete sequence, by putting strategic factors in time in the realization of the process;

– Indicators - due to the opportunity of indicating and encompassing the logical and temporary interrelationships between the strategic factors, which are at the same time reconstructed as a complex of attention zones. In its original form, the BSC model covers four main areas.

The first includes finance - it consists of parameters such as: growth, yield, turnover, shareholder value, etc. The second concerns the users - in this segment are introduced measures that provide information about customer satisfaction. The third area concerns internal processes - identifying those processes that maximize added value. And the fourth area is tied to the continuous addition of knowledge and development to the organization.

Today's managers recognize the impact of the criteria on work and strategies. Resulting evaluation should be an integral part of the management process. The Balanced Scorecard gives the administrative staff a detailed structure that transforms the company's strategic goals into a clear set of benchmarks. It is more than an assessment exercise, it is a management system that can motivate serious improvements in such critical areas as product, process, customer and market development [3].

The BSC gives the managers different perspectives from which to choose criteria. It complements the usual financial metrics with work, customer, internal processes, and refining and optimization activities. These criteria differ from traditional ones. It is clear that many companies already have a sufficiently large set of physical and operational criteria for their activities. But these criteria are directed downwards from the strategy to the particular operation and are tailored to the specifics of these processes. On the other hand, the criteria of the BSC are set in the strategic goals and competitive needs of the organization. By driving managers to select a limited number of critical metrics from any perspective, the BSC accelerates the ability to specify strategy, mission and vision [4].

Moreover, while traditional financial criteria show what happened over the past period, without showing how managers can improve their performance over the next, the BSC is also a cornerstone of the company's current and future success. Furthermore, unlike conventional measures, information from perspectives provides a balance between external criteria such as operating income and internal criteria such as developing a new product. This balanced set of criteria reveals that the replacements that managers have already made between the activity criteria encourage them to achieve their goals in the future without replacing key success factors. The BSC is the language, the control point of the background, on which all new projects and types of business are evaluated. It is not a template that can be applied to a variety of business or industry. Different market situations, product strategies and competitive environment require the use of appropriate specimens [1]. The success story of is in its transparency, allowing the observer to understand the chosen competitive strategy through a criterion system.

The above discussion is a good reason to make the following considerations:

– The model of the BSC, compared to the management models that were prevalent in the early 1990s, changes the logic in structuring organizational performance indicators. In this respect, it is heavily influenced by stakeholder theory, which postulates the organization's drive to meet the requirements of key agents in its environment. As a result, the BSC model defines several key measurement and management perspectives in which these requirements - finance, users, internal business processes, training and staff development - are expressed. In this respect, the model creates better than the existing conditions for achieving the unity of the organizations' potential with the characteristics of the environment in a strategic aspect;

– The BSC model also takes into account the dominant role of value-for-money theory in business organizations and the prospect of finance. Fundamental importance for structuring the model for each organization is the study of causal relationships and dependencies between indicators from other perspectives and those from the perspective of finances. Unlike previous management models, however, the model of the BSC includes in these relationships a considerably wider range, mostly intangible indicators. Thus, the model upbuilds primarily on financial models and reveals the success factors;

– The model of the BSC fundamentally resolves the issue of creating prerequisites for the implementation of the strategy. Its design implies operationalizing the strategy in a limited range of key causality-related dependencies, which facilitates the presentation of strategic thinking among broad circles of performers. This makes the strategy transparent and simplifies management processes;

– The coverage of a wide range of non-material factors of organizational success requires the introduction of new types of systems to measure intangible parameters essential to the functioning of organizations in management practices. Towards the end of the last century, this stimulated the active development and implementation of modern methods to measure the satisfaction and loyalty of clients and staff, the development and evaluation of intellectual capital;

– The BSC model is very much built naturally and organically over existing management systems, including those for budget management. The requirement to define initiatives to achieve strategic goals on the implications of the indicators included in the model creates favorable prerequisites for strategic budgets, considered as an indicative framework for operational and tactical budgeting [2].

3 Conclusion

The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is an effective tool for strategic management and control that builds on traditional financial models by integrating non-financial and intangible factors. Its structure, based on four main perspectives, allows for the systematization of strategic objectives, tracking their implementation and adaptation to the specifics of the organization. By introducing cause-and-effect relationships between indicators, the BSC provides clarity, focus and consistency in the implementation of the strategy. The model combines measurement with real actions and lays the foundation for sustainable organizational development. In the modern business context, the BSC is established as an integral part of the systematic approach to management, oriented towards long-term success and increased competitiveness.

References:

[1] Ehrmann, H. (2000). Kompakt - Training Balanced Scorecard. *Ludwigshafen*.

[2] Georgiev, M. (2019). Balanced Scorecard as a tool for management improvement. *Plovdiv: Academy of Innovation and Sustainability*. 147 p. ISBN 978-619-7246-24-7.

[3] Stoyanov, E. (2016). Evaluation as an active method for improving small business. In *Proceedings of the First International Scientific Conference "Sustainability Challenges in Modern Organizations - Knowledge & Innovation in Management & Operation*" (pp. 153–164).

[4] Terziev, V., & Stoyanov, E. (2015). Challenges of management control in the process of social programming. *Novosibirsk*. 318 p. ISBN 978-5-00068-445-0.