Impact of Altering Sowing Dates on the Incidence of Bollworms

MANISHA, KRISHNA ROLANIA, SANGEETA TIWARI Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture University Hisar, Haryana 125004 INDIA

Abstract: - The influence of different planting dates was studied on major bollworms (Earias spp. & Pectinophora gossypiella) attacking valuable commercial cotton crop. Susceptible Gossypium arboreum variety HD 432 was planted on three different date of sowing at fortnight intervals starting from April to May. Incidence of Spotted bollworm in green bolls was observed least (12.07 & 13.08%) in first fortnight of April sown crop as compared to May sown crop (19.52 & 21.91%) during 2018 and 2019, respectively. Pink bollworm also showed a similar trend and damage (bolls and locules) was minimal in the crop sown in April compared to the crop sown in May during both cropping seasons. This study highlights the importance of sowing date in the pest management scenario by defining the final level.

Key-Words: - Incidence, spotted bollworm, pink bollworm, sowing dates

Received: March 3, 2024. Revised: August 4, 2024. Accepted: September 5, 2024. Published: October 22, 2024.

1 Introduction

Cotton is the most widespread, profitable non-food crop in the world. Being cash crop, it furnish livelihood to millions of people associated with its cultivation, textile and apparel industries (Sahito et al., 2011). Presently, 50 to 60 million people depend on cotton cultivation, marketing, processing and livelihood exports for their across (Anonymous, 2021). Globally, cotton grown area is 33.19 million hectares with the production of 25.73 million (Anonymous, 2022a). production in India was 362.18 lakh bales of 170 kg from 120.69 lakh hectares with a productivity of 510 kg lint/ha. Haryana is one of the major cotton growing states in India. In Haryana, area under cotton cultivation is 6.95 lakh hectares with production of 20.30 lakh bales of 170 kg and productivity of 500 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2022b). Cotton productivity is limited by many factors but the major concern in getting maximum production per unit area is due to the infestation of various insect pests, particularly bollworms. Bollworms viz. bollworm, Pectinophora pink gossypiella (Saunders) and spotted bollworms, Earias vittella (Fabricius), Earias insulana (Boisduval) are major cause for heavy damage in Haryana. Bollworms reduce the yield and quality of cotton (Vonzun et al. 2019).

Today, *Bt* hybrids dominate the cotton seed market in India and have displaced other traditional cotton species such as *G. arboreum* and non-GM *G. hirsutum* cotton cultivars. In recent years farmers experienced severe bollworm attacks due to irregular monsoon rains, unusually warm growing

conditions, presence on other alternative host crops and development of resistance against GM cotton (Vonzun et al., 2019). Therefore, G. arboreum might be a better choice in present scenario as it is known for higher genetic diversity than G. hirsutum. (Sethi et al., 2015). G. arboreum has certain inherent qualities and remarkable tolerance to several pests and disease (Nibouche et al., 2008). Recently, Pink bollworm P. gossypiella (Saunders) has developed resistance to Bt technology and now it is considered as one of the most serious insect pests of cotton crop attacking on fruiting bodies (Prasad and Ashwini, 2021). During 2017-18, epidemic level pink bollworm infestation was recorded in major cotton growing states of India that ranged between 8-92 per cent with corresponding yield losses of 10-30 per cent (Anonymous, 2019). E. vittella is the predominant species on cotton in India (Shera et al., 2015). The yield losses caused by E. vittella and E. insulana, estimated by chemical control method, were 44 per cent in G. hirsutum and 48.2 per cent in G. arboreum varieties (Shera, 2009). Cultural practice like time of sowing has significant effect in pest population build-up (Mohamed, 2012). Bollworm population usually increasing as the season progresses (Parajulee et al., 1998). Early planted cotton had lower population of aphids, jassids and American bollworm than laterplanted cotton (Karavina et al. 2012). Khan et al. (2019) studied the effect of different sowing dates of transgenic cotton genotypes against bollworms. Late sown genotypes of cotton showed the highest per cent damage (1.27 \pm 0.27 and 2.27 \pm 1.04) compared to early sown (0.01 \pm 0.00 and 0.73 \pm 0.11) and median sown $(0.37 \pm 0.08 \text{ and } 0.95 \pm 0.22)$ for pink and spotted bollworm, respectively. Attia *et al.* (2021) reported that insect infestation increased significantly by delaying planting date. So in present study we aimed to study effect of planting dated on bollworms incidence.

2 Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar (29°05′N 75°26′E / 29.09°N 75.43°E / 29.09; 75.43). Hisar is above 215 m (705 ft) above mean sea level and located in western Haryana (India). Desi cotton variety HD 432 (recommended for Haryana state) was selected and experimented in randomized block design with seven replications. There were three sowing dates at fortnight interval viz. first fortnight of April (12th April), second fortnight of April (27th April) and first fortnight of May (14th May) during 2018, and 2019. The experimental plots were of dimensions 5m long and 4m wide (20 m²). Before sowing, the seeds were soaked in water for two hours for better germination. Sowing was done with hand plough by pora method by keeping row to row distance of 67.5 cm and plant to plant 30 cm. Thinning was done after one month of sowing living the healthy ones and to maintain the proper space. The recommended package of practices for cultivation of cotton was followed except the recommended date of sowing (i.e., first fortnight of April) and the insecticide treatments.

2.1 Observations for insect incidence

Incidence of both the bollworms (pink and spotted bollworm) was recorded in bolls and shed materials (squares, flowers and bolls). Forty five days after sowing five plants were selected from each plot at random excluding two rows on either side to observe the incidence and population of bollworms at fifteen days interval during the entire crop growth period. The spotted bollworm incidence was recorded by visually observing the intact green bolls. Total bolls and damaged bolls of the plant were counted to calculate the percentage of damage using formula given below.

Pink bollworm damage in open bolls and locules was observed at the time of harvesting by counting the total bolls/locules and damaged bolls/locules in five randomly selected plants. Per cent boll and locule damage was calculated using the same formula.

No. of bolls damaged

Per cent boll damage= ----- × 100 Total number of bolls observed

2.1.1 Statistical analysis

Data of population dynamics was analyzed for variance by adopting square root $(\sqrt{n} + 1)$ and angular transformation by using OPSTAT (Sheoran *et al.*, 1998) at 5 per cent level of significance.

3 Results

In our experiment date of sowing showed significant effect on bollworms incidence. First fortnight of May sown crop was observed with severe infestation of spotted and pink bollworms in comparison to April sown crops (First and second fortnight)

Incidence of spotted bollworms in green bolls

The sowing date exhibited significant effect on incidence of spotted bollworm on cotton crop during both years. Green boll damage during kharif, 2018 started at 90 days after sowing (DAS) in first fortnight of April sown crop (2.02%) while at 75 DAS in second fortnight of April (0.76%) and first fortnight of May sown crops (5.03%) (Table 1). Peak damage (25.87, 27.10 and 36.57%) was noticed at 165 DAS (October to November) in all three sowing dates, respectively. During kharif 2019 damage (0.19, 1.84 and 6.44%) started at 75 DAS (July to August) and reached to peak (29.83, 30.92 and 35.77%) at 165 DAS in all three sowing dates (Table 2). Therefore, in present study, final mean of green boll damage was lowest (12.07 & 13.08 %) in case of first fortnight of April sown crop and highest (19.52 & 23.71 %) in first fortnight of May sown crop in 2018 and 2019, respectively (Table 6).

Incidence of Pink bollworm in open bolls and locules

Open boll damage fluctuated from 29.72 and 35.75 to 40.27 and 46.03 per cent, respectively in three sowing dates during *kharif* 2018 and 2019 (Table 3). In present study, the lowest (29.72%) open boll damage was recorded in second fortnight of April sown crop that was statistically on par (31.05%) with first fortnight of April sown crop during 2018. Irrespective to this open boll damage was lowest (35.75%) in first fortnight of April sown crop during *kharif* 2019 that was statistically on par (37.38%) with second fortnight of April sown crop damage. Highest damage (40.27 and 46.03%) was recorded in first fortnight of May sown crop during *kharif* 2018 and 2019, respectively.

The locule damage varied from 13.03 to 22.86 per cent during 2018 and 13.14 to 22.06 per cent during 2019. In present study, locule damage was minimum (13.03%) in second fortnight of April

sown crop that was at par with first fortnight of April sown crop (14.83%) while maximum (22.86%) in first fortnight of May sown crop during *kharif*, 2018. Whereas during *kharif*, 2019 minimum (13.14%) locule damage was recorded in first fortnight of April sown crop and maximum (22.06) in first fortnight of May sown crop (Table 3).

Incidence of bollworms in Shed materials (Squares, flowers and bolls)

During 2018, bollworms damage in shed materials was noticed at 60 DAS in first fortnight of April sown crop (0.96%) that reached to peak (34.22%) at 165 DAS. sown crop. The damage in shed material appeared early at 45 DAS (0.55 & 1.38%) with peak damage (33.31 & 42.99%) at 165 DAS in second fortnight of April and first fortnight of May sown crops, respectively (Table 4). Incidence of bollworms was noticed at 45 DAS in all three sowing dates during 2019, that increased onwards and reached to peak at 165 DAS (Table 5).

On the basis of shed materials incidence of both the bollworms was recorded minimum in April sown crops as compared to May sown crop (Table 6). First fortnight of April sown crop showed significant least (14.88 & 16.36%) incidence of bollworms during 2018 and 2019 respectively (Table 6).

4 Conclusion

Planting dates significantly effecting the incidence of bollworms in present study. Alteration in sowing time can be an efficient, economical as well as ecofriendly solution to a particular pest problem in a particular region. Delayed sowing *i.e.* first fortnight of May, significantly increase the incidence of both the bollworms in cotton crop. As per our studies sowing done in April (first and second fortnight) was found most suitable to minimize the incidence of spotted and pink bollworms.

References:

- [1] Adams B, Catchot A, Gore J, Cook D, Musser F, Dodds D (2013) Impact of planting date and varietal maturity on tarnished plant bug in cotton. *J Econ Entomol* 106(6):2378–2383
- [2] Anonymous (2019): UC Pest Management Guidelines. – Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of California
- [3] Anonymous (2022b). Annual report of project coordinator AICRP. https://www.cicr.org.in/aicrp 21 22/PC-report.pdf Accessed 12 October 2022
- [4] Anonymous. (2021). Cotton:, https://thenewsmill.com/scientific-practices-

- <u>demand-indian-cotton/</u> Accessed 12 October 2022
- [5] Attia Z. A., Ebada L. and Abdelmaksoud N. M. (2021). Relationship between cotton planting date and two bollworms associated with their natural enemies. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*. **45**:24
- [6] Barradas G, Lopez-Bellido RJ (2009) Genotype and planting date effects on cotton growth and production under South Portugal conditions. III-Boll set percentage, boll location, yield and lint quality. *J Food Agric Environ* 7(2):322–328
- [7] Din MA, Ali SS, Ali L (2004) Cotton yield as influenced by different sowing dates under the climatic conditions of Vehari-*Pakistan*. *Int J Agric Biol* 6(4):644–646
- [8] Dong H, Li W, Zhenhuaili W, Zhang D, Niu Y (2006) Yield, quality and leaf senescence of cotton grown at varying planting dates and plant densities in the yellow River Valley of China. *Field Crop Res* 98:106–115
- [9] Frisbie RE, Reynolds HT, Adkisson PL, Smith RF (1994) Cotton insect pest management. In: Metcalf RL, LuckmanW H (eds) Introduction to insect pest management. Wiley, Hoboken, pp 421–468.
- [10] Karavina, C., Mandumbu, R., Parwada, C. and Mungunyana, T. (2012). Variety and Planting date effects on the incidence of bollworms and insect sucking pests of cotton. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3 (3): 607-610.
- [11] Khan, M.H., Asif, M.U., Memon, R.M., Akbar, W., Khurho N.H. and Awais, M. (2019). Response of *Bt* cotton genotypes to the infestation of sucking and bollworm pests with respect to different planting dates. *Pakistan Entomologist*, **41**(1):27-34.
- [12] Mohamed M. A. (2012) Impact of planting dates, spaces and varieties on infestation of cucumber plants with whitefy, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.). *The Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology*, **65** (1):17–20.
- [13] Nibouche S., Brevault T., Klassou C., Dessauw D. and Hau B. (2008). Assessment of the resistance of cotton germplasm aphids (Homoptera, (Gossypium spp.) to leafhoppers Aphididae) and (Homoptera: Cicadellidae, Typhlocybinae): methodology variability. Plant genetic Breeding, **127**:376–382
- [14] Parajulee, M. N., Slosser, J. E., and Boring, E. P., III. (1998). Seasonal activity of *Helicoverpa* zea and *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera:

- Noctuidae) detected by pheromone traps in the Rolling Plains of Texas. *Environmental Entomology*, **27**: 1203-1219.
- [15] Pettigrew WT (2002) Improved Yield potential with an early planting cotton production system. *Agron J* 94:997–1003.
- [16] Prasad, B.R. and Ashwini, D. (2021). Bioefficacy of Certain Insecticides Sequence on Cotton Sucking Pests and Pink Bollworm. December. *International Journal of Bio*resource and Stress Management 12(6):766-773
- [17] Sahito, H. A., Abro, G. H., Syed, T. S., Memon, S. A., Mal, B. and Kaleri, S. (2011). Screening of pesticides against cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) and its natural enemies on cotton crop. *International Research Journal of Biochemistry and Bioinformatics*, 1: 232-236.
- [18] Sethi, K.; Siwach, P.; Verma, S.K. (2015). Assessing genetic diversity among six populations of *Gossypium arboreum* L. using microsatellites markers. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, **21**: 531–539.
- [19] Sheoran, O.P., Tonk, D.S., Kaushik, L.S., Hasija, R.C., and Pannu, R.S. (1998). Statistical software Package for Agricultural Research Workers. Recent advances in information theory, Statistics and Computer Applications by D.S. Hooda and R.C. Hasija Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar (139-143).
- [20] Shera, P. S. (2009). Extent of avoidable losses due to spotted bollworms (*Earias* spp.) in Asiatic cotton *Gossypium arboreum* L. *Pest Management and Economic Zoology*, **17**: 101–104
- [21] Shera, P. S., Arora, R. and Singh, P. (2015). Comparative susceptibility of transgenic *Bt* cotton hybrids to *Earias spp.* and other nontarget insects. *Crop Protection*, **71**: 51–59.
- [22] Vonzun S., Messmer M. M., Boller T, Shrivas Y, Patil S S, and Riar A., (2019). Extent of Bollworm and Sucking Pest Damage on Modern and Traditional Cotton Species and Potential for Breeding in Organic Cotton. *Sustainability*, **11** (12): 6353.

Table 1. Effect of date of sowing on incidence of spotted bollworm in bolls during 2018

Samina dina	Per cent damage at different days after sowing										
Sowing time	75	90	105	120	135	150	165	Mean			
1 st fortnight of April (12 th April)	0 (1.15)	2.02 (8.10)	6.23 (14.41)	11.50 (19.78)	15.79 (23.37)	23.06 (28.67)	25.87 (30.54)	12.07 (20.31)			
2 nd fortnight of April (27 th April)	0.76 (4.56)	3.62 (10.91)	8.55 (16.94)	11.75 (19.95)	15.93 (23.48)	23.41 (28.91)	27.10 (31.33)	13.02 (21.13)			
1 st fortnight of May (14 th May)	5.03 (12.89)	10.03 (18.43)	14.55 (22.41)	20.08 (26.59)	23.65 (29.08)	26.72 (31.08)	36.57 (37.17)	19.52 (26.20)			
SE±(m)	0.61	0.28	0.38	0.62	0.60	0.57	0.69	0.28			
CD at 5%	(1.89)	(0.86)	(1.17)	(1.92)	(1.87)	(1.77)	(2.14)	(0.87)			

Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values, mean of 7 replications

Table 2. Effect of date of sowing on incidence of spotted bollworm in bolls during 2019

G	Per cent damage at different days after sowing										
Sowing time	75	90	105	120	135	150	165	Mean			
1st fortnight of April (12th April)	0.19 (1.32)	2.51 (8.98)	5.91 (14.02)	10.89 (19.23)	17.81 (24.94)	24.39 (29.54)	29.83 (33.07)	13.08 (20.32)			
2 nd fortnight of April (27 th April)	1.84 (7.65)	3.43 (10.59)	6.60 (14.79)	12.77 (20.90)	19.26 (25.98)	26.85 (31.18)	30.92 (33.74)	14.52 (22.60)			
1st fortnight of May (14th May)	6.44 (14.61)	15.55 (23.17)	15.79 (23.37)	22.81 (28.49)	26.43 (30.87)	30.60 (33.56)	35.77 (36.77)	21.91 (29.12)			
SE±(m)	0.64	0.61	0.53	0.52	0.80	0.73	0.80	0.31			
CD at 5%	(1.99)	(1.90)	(1.65)	(1.63)	(2.48)	(2.28)	(2.50)	(0.95)			

Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values; mean of 7 replications

Table 3. Effect of date of sowing on incidence of pink bollworm in open bolls and locules

	Per cent Damage									
Sowing time		Open bolls		Locules						
50 Wing time	2018	2019	pooled	2018	2019	pooled				
1st fortnight of April	31.05	35.75	33.40	14.83	13.14	13.99				
(12 th April)	(33.82)	(36.69)	(35.28)	(22.60)	(21.20)	(21.93)				
2 nd fortnight of April	29.72	37.38	33.55	13.03	16.09	14.56				
(27 th April)	(33.00)	(37.66)	(35.37)	(21.13)	(23.55)	(22.38)				
1st fortnight of May	40.27	46.03	43.15	22.86	22.06	23.46				
(14 th May)	(39.37)	(42.70)	(41.04)	(28.49)	(27.93)	(28.21)				
SE±(m)	0.66	0.89	0.62	0.77	0.73	0.66				
CD at 5%	(2.06)	(2.79)	(1.94)	(2.41)	(2.28)	(2.07)				

Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values; Mean of 7 replications

ISSN: 2367-9026 62 Volume 9, 2024

Table 4. Effect of date of sowing on incidence of bollworms in shed fruiting bodies (flowers, squares and bolls) during 2018

Cowing time	Per cent damage at different days after sowing									
Sowing time	45	60	75	90	105	120	135	150	165	Mean
1 st fortnight of April (12 th April)	0 (1.15)	0.96 (4.71)	2.96 (9.73)	7.67 (15.99)	16.48 (23.88)	21.79 (27.76)	23.09 (28.64)	26.74 (31.08)	34.22 (35.76)	14.88 (22.65)
2 nd fortnight of April (27 th April)	0.55 (2.77)	2.03 (8.00)	3.77 (10.96)	8.90 (17.29)	15.27 (22.94)	19.43 (26.07)	21.53 (27.53)	27.33 (31.49)	33.31 (35.22)	14.68 (22.50)
1 st fortnight of May (14 th May)	1.38 (6.56)	5.25 (13.09)	9.08 (17.40)	14.75 (22.51)	22.65 (28.35)	28.49 (32.23)	30.10 (33.21)	36.25 (36.97)	42.99 (40.94)	21.22 (27.40)
SE±(m)	0.81	0.97	0.99	0.69	0.89	0.96	0.96	0.78	0.90	0.52
CD at 5%	(2.52)	(3.03)	(3.08)	(2.14)	(2.76)	(2.98)	(2.98)	(2.42)	(2.79)	(1.60)

Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values; Mean of 7 replications

Table 5. Effect of date of sowing on incidence of bollworms in shed fruiting bodies (flowers, squares and bolls) during 2019

Cowing time	Per cent damage at different days after sowing										
Sowing time	45	60	75	90	105	120	135	150	165	Mean	
1 st fortnight of April (12 th April)	0.38 (2.29)	2.40 (8.18)	5.29 (13.13)	12.46 (20.50)	18.16 (25.13)	21.90 (27.82)	24.77 (29.80)	28.24 (32.00)	32.62 (35.35)	16.36 (23.80)	
2 nd fortnight of April (27 th April)	0.55 (2.77)	3.45 (10.48)	7.81 (16.07)	15.21 (22.73)	21.28 (27.37)	24.16 (29.37)	27.89 (31.83)	31.04 (33.81)	37.38 (37.62)	18.75 (25.64)	
1 st fortnight of May (14 th May)	1.78 (7.54)	7.37 (15.67)	13.87 (23.44)	18.87 (25.60)	29.31 (32.71)	33.84 (35.51)	37.45 (37.70)	42.26 (40.52)	46.20 (42.79)	25.66 (30.41)	
SE±(m)	0.97	0.85	0.76	0.99	1.25	0.68	0.62	0.87	0.96	0.41	
CD at 5%	(3.02)	(2.65)	(2.36)	(3.09)	(3.89)	(2.12)	(1.94)	(2.72)	(2.98)	(1.26)	

Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values; Mean of 7 replications

Table 6. Incidence of spotted and pink bollworms during Kharif 2018 and 2019

Sowing time Spotted bollworm incidence in green bolls (%)		incidence in green incidence in open		incidence	ollworm in locules	Incidence of bollworms in shed materials (%)		
	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
1st fortnight of April	12.07 (20.31)	13.08 (20.32)	31.05 (33.82)	35.75 (36.69)	14.83 (22.60)	13.14 (21.20)	14.88 (22.65)	16.36 (23.80)
2 nd fortnight of April	13.02 (21.13)	14.52 (22.60)	29.72 (33.00)	37.38 (37.66)	13.03 (21.13)	16.09 (23.55)	14.68 (22.50)	18.75 (25.64)
1st fortnight of May	19.52 (26.20)	21.91 (29.12)	40.27 (39.37)	46.03 (42.70)	22.86 (28.49)	22.06 (27.93)	21.22 (27.40)	25.66 (30.41)
SE±(m)	0.28	0.31	0.66	0.89	0.77	0.73	0.52	0.41
CD at 5%	(0.87)	(0.95)	(2.06)	(2.79)	(2.41)	(2.28)	(1.60)	(1.26)

Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

ISSN: 2367-9026 63 Volume 9, 2024